Electronic noise in optical homodyne tomography
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The effect of the detector electronic noise in an optical homodyne tomography experiment is shown to be equivalent to optical loss if the detector is calibrated by measuring the quadrature noise of the vacuum state. An explicit relation between the electronic noise level and the equivalent optical efficiency is obtained and confirmed in an experiment with a narrow-band squeezed vacuum source operating at an atomic rubidium wavelength.
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INTRODUCTION

Optical homodyne tomography (OHT) is a method of characterizing quantum states of light by measuring the quantum noise statistics of the electromagnetic field quadratures at different phases. Theoretically proposed in Ref. [1] and experimentally implemented in the early 1990s [2], OHT has become a standard tool of quantum technology of light, in particular in quantum information applications.

OHT is prone to a variety of inefficiencies, of which the main one—the optical losses (OLs)—is due to absorption in beam paths, nonunitary efficiency of the photodiodes, imperfect balancing of the detector, and, partially, imperfect mode matching between the signal and the local oscillator modes [3]. Also, the presence of non-mode-matched signal light appears as added noise in the detector, raising the apparent noise floor [3]. All OLs can be modeled by an absorber in the signal beam path. The effect of OL in quantum state reconstruction is well understood, and there are ways of both its quantitative evaluation and compensation for its effects in the quantum state reconstruction procedure [4,5].

An important additional inefficiency source is the electronic noise (EN) of the homodyne detector. Its effect is the addition of a random value to each quadrature measurement, which blurs the marginal distribution and causes errors in quantum state reconstruction. Unlike the optical inefficiency, the EN, to our knowledge, has not yet been studied, and is usually neglected whenever an efficiency analysis is made [6–12].

The purpose of this paper is to fill this gap and to analyze the role of the electronic noise in OHT. We show its effect to be equivalent to that of optical losses. The key to this equivalence is the detector calibration procedure, which involves measuring the quadrature noise of the vacuum state. Applying this procedure to the vacuum state contaminated with the EN results in rescaling of the field quadratures, analogous to that taking place due to optical absorption.

We confirm our experimental findings in a squeezing experiment, in which we vary the local oscillator power to achieve different signal-to-noise ratios of the homodyne detector. The squeezed vacuum source is a narrowband, tunable continuous-wave optical parametric amplifier operating at a 795-nm wavelength. We begin our theory by writing the effects of both inefficiency types on the reconstructed Wigner function (WF).

BEAM SPLITTER MODEL FOR OPTICAL SIGNAL LOSS

According to the beam splitter model of absorption, non-unitary optical efficiency \( \eta \) modifies the WF \( W(X,P) \) of the signal state as follows [4]:

\[
W_{\text{OL}}(X,P) = \frac{1}{\pi \eta (1-\eta)} \int \int W(\eta^{-1/2}X', \eta^{-1/2}P') \times \exp \left[ -\frac{(X-X')^2 + (P-P')^2}{1-\eta} \right] dX' dP'.
\]

This transformation can be understood by visualizing the absorber as a fractional beam splitter with the signal beam entering one port and the vacuum state entering the other. Transmission of the signal field through the beam splitter rescales the field amplitudes by a factor of \( \eta^{-1/2} \). The vacuum state reflected from the beam splitter into the signal mode adds noise, which expresses as a convolution of the WF with a two-dimensional Gaussian function of width \( \sqrt{1-\eta} \).

EFFECT OF THE ELECTRONIC NOISE

In the absence of the electronic noise (EN), the electric signal (voltage or current) \( V \) generated by the homodyne detector is proportional to the quadrature sample \( X \): \( V = \alpha X \). The coefficient \( \alpha \) has to be determined when quantum state reconstruction is the goal. This is usually done by means of a calibration procedure, which consists of acquiring a set of quadrature noise samples \( \{ V_0 \} \) of the vacuum state, prepared by letting only the local oscillator into the detector. The vacuum marginal distribution,

\[
P_0(X) = \pi^{-1/2} \exp(-X^2),
\]

is loss-independent, and has a mean square of \( \langle X^2 \rangle = 1/2 \). One can thus use the experimental data to obtain

\[
\alpha = \sqrt{2\langle V_0^2 \rangle}.
\]

When the EN is present, the observed probability distribution of the electric signal is a convolution of the scaled marginal distribution \( P(X) \) of the state measured and the electronic noise histogram \( p_e(V) \):
\( \mathcal{P}_{\text{EN}}(V) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int \mathcal{P}\left( \frac{V'}{\alpha} \right) p_{\text{e}}(V - V') dV' \) (4)

(4) (the factor of \( \alpha \) is added to retain the normalization of the marginal distribution). Under realistic experimental conditions of a broadband electronic noise, its distribution can be assumed Gaussian:

\[
p_{\text{e}}(V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi T}} \exp\left(-\frac{V^2}{T}\right),
\]

where \( T \) is the mean square noise magnitude.

Consider the detector calibration procedure in the presence of the EN. According to Eqs. (2), (4), and (5), the vacuum state measurement yields a distribution

\[
\mathcal{P}_{0,\text{EN}}(V_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \alpha'^2 + T}} \exp\left(-\frac{V_0^2}{\alpha'^2 + T}\right)
\]

with a mean square of \( \langle V_0^2 \rangle = (\alpha'^2 + T)/2 \). If no correction for the EN is made, the quantity

\[
\alpha' = \sqrt{2 \langle V_0^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\alpha'^2 + T}
\]

is interpreted according to Eq. (3) as the conversion factor between the electric signal and the field quadrature.

In a homodyne measurement of an unknown state, one acquires a set of samples from the homodyne detector, and then applies the calibration factor \( \alpha' \) to rescale its histogram \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{EN}}(V) \) and obtain the associated marginal distribution. Using Eq. (4), we write

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\text{EN}}(X) = \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_{\text{EN}}(\alpha' X) = \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \int \mathcal{P}\left( \frac{V'}{\alpha} \right) p_{\text{e}}(\alpha' X - V') dV'.
\]

Introducing a new integration variable \( X' = V' / \alpha' \) and utilizing the EN distribution (5), Eq. (8) can be rewritten as

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\text{EN}}(X) = \frac{\alpha'^2}{\sqrt{\pi T \alpha}} \int \mathcal{P}\left( \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} X' \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(X - X')^2}{T/\alpha'^2}\right) dX'.
\]

This rescaled, noise contaminated marginal distribution is then used to reconstruct the Wigner function.

Because the marginal distribution is tomographically linked to the WF,

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\text{e}}(X) = \int W(X \cos \theta - P \sin \theta, X \sin \theta + P \cos \theta) dP,
\]

the Wigner function reconstructed from a noisy measurement is a convolution

\[
W_{\text{EN}}(X,P) = \frac{\alpha'^4}{\pi T \alpha} \int dX' dP' W\left( \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} X', \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} P' \right)
\times \exp\left[ -\frac{(X - X')^2 + (P - P')^2}{T/\alpha'^2}\right].
\]

EQUIVALENCE OF THE EFFICIENCY LOSS MECHANISMS

Comparing the above expression with Eq. (1) for the WF of a state affected by optical losses, we find that the two are equivalent if we set

\[
\eta_{\text{eq}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha'^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + T}.
\]

In other words, we may treat the electronic noise as an additional optical attenuator with a transmission equal to \( \eta_{\text{eq}} \). Accordingly, the known numerical procedures for correcting for optical losses can be readily applied to the electronic noise.

This result may appear surprising. The field quadrature noise measured in the presence of the EN is a convolution of the WF’s marginal distribution with the noise histogram. In the case of OL, on the other hand, the convolution is preceded by rescaling of the quadrature variables. The similarity between the effects of the two inefficiency emerges due to the detector calibration procedure. The vacuum state measurement is distorted by the EN but not the OL, hence there is additional rescaling of the quadratures in the presence of the EN.

For experimental applications, it is more convenient to express the equivalent efficiency in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio of the detector. By the latter we understand the ratio between the observed mean square noise of the vacuum state (in the presence of the EN) and the mean square electronic noise, i.e., the quantity

\[
S = \frac{\alpha^2 + T}{T}.
\]

Reexpressing Eq. (12) in terms of \( S \) yields

\[
\eta_{\text{eq}} = \frac{S - 1}{S}.
\]

As evidenced by this equation, reasonably low electronic noise does not constitute a significant efficiency loss. For example, the original time-domain detector of Smithey and co-workers [2,6], featuring a 6 dB signal-to-noise ratio (i.e., the rms quadrature noise being only twice as high as the rms EN) has an equivalent quantum efficiency of 75%. A similar equivalent efficiency is featured by a high-speed detector by Zavatta et al. [13]. A 14 dB time-domain detector of Hansen and co-workers [14] has an equivalent efficiency of 96%, and a typical frequency-domain detector with a signal-to-noise ratio of 20 dB has an efficiency of 99%.

EXPERIMENT

We verify our theoretical findings in a squeezing experiment. The squeezed vacuum source is a continuous-wave optical parametric amplifier (OPA), operating at the 795-nm wavelength of the D1 transition in atomic rubidium. We have constructed this source to perform experiments on interfacing quantum information between light and atoms, in particular storage [15,16] and Raman adiabatic transferring
The optical cavity of the OPA is of bow-tie configuration composed of four mirrors, among which two are flat and two are concave with a curvature radius of 100 mm. The cavity is composed of four mirrors, among which two are flat and two are concave with a curvature radius of 100 mm. The cavity is singly resonant for the generated 795-nm wavelength. As the cavity mirrors had a reflectivity of 1−T=93%. Other cavity mirrors had an over 99.9% reflectivity. With these parameters, the cavity losses measured by blocking both photodiodes of the homodyne detector are less than 0.2 dB; it is much smaller for the shot-noise level. The uncertainty of the spontaneous emission level is estimated as 0.2 dB; it is much smaller for the shot-noise level. The uncertainty of the spontaneous emission level, 0.2 dB, is placed at the beam waist between the curved mirrors.

The source employs a 5-mm-long periodically poled KTiOPO4 crystal as the nonlinear element. The crystal, manufactured by Raicol, features a 3.175-μm poling period and double antireflection coatings on both sides (measured loss of L/2=0.5% per surface). It is placed at the beam waist of the optical cavity.

The OPA is pumped by up to 240 mW of 397.5-nm light. The pump field is the second harmonic of a frequency stabilized titanium-sapphire master laser. The same laser is used to provide the local oscillator for the homodyne detector. The OPA cavity is locked at resonance by means of an additional diode laser phase locked to the master oscillator at 3 free spectral ranges of the OPA cavity. The cavity lock is implemented using the Pound-Drever-Hall method, using a beam modulated at 20 MHz and propagating in the direction opposite to the pumped mode.

The circuit for homodyne detection uses two Hamamatsu S3883 photodiodes of 94% quantum efficiency in a “back-to-back” configuration, akin to that employed in Refs. [13,14], followed by a OPAs47 operational preamplifier. The detector exhibits excellent linearity and an up to 12−17 dB signal-to-noise ratio over a 100-MHz bandwidth, with local oscillator powers up to 10 mW. At a 1-MHz sideband relevant for this experiment, the highest signal-to-noise ratio of the detector exceeds 17 dB, which, according to Eq. (14), corresponds to equivalent optical losses of only 2%. The detector output is observed in the frequency domain using an Agilent ESA spectrum analyzer.

Figure 1 shows the electronic spectrum of squeezed vacuum field generated by the OPA. To determine the quantum efficiency, we use the fact that for the pure squeezed state, the product of the mean square quadrature uncertainties is the minimum allowed by the uncertainty principle, i.e., \(\langle Q^2\rangle^\text{pure, }+\langle Q^2\rangle^\text{pure, }-\rangle=1/4\), where the normalization factor corresponds to the shot noise level. After undergoing an optical loss, the squeezed state remains Gaussian, but loses its purity. Using the beam splitter model of absorption, we find that upon propagating through an attenuator of transmission \(\eta\), the quadrature noise reduces by a factor of \(\eta\), but gains excess vacuum noise of intensity \(1-\eta\).

\[
\langle Q^2\rangle = \eta Q^2_{\text{pure, }+} + (1 - \eta)/2, \quad (15a)
\]

\[
\langle Q^2\rangle = \eta Q^2_{\text{pure, }-} + (1 - \eta)/2. \quad (15b)
\]

Measuring \(\langle Q^2\rangle\) and \(\langle Q^2\rangle\) and solving Eqs. (15), we can find the value of \(\eta\), i.e., how much loss a pure squeezed state
As a log$_{10}$ factor, we express the overall quantum efficiency as a function of the detector’s signal-to-noise ratio $S$. This ratio is expressed in decibels as $10 \log_{10} S$. Both the experimental results and the theoretical prediction (14) (multiplied by an optical efficiency factor of 0.51) are displayed.

Our result would have experienced to generate the state with the quadrature noise levels observed [21]:

$$\eta = \frac{(2\langle Q^2 \rangle - 1)(1 - 2\langle Q^2 \rangle)}{2\langle Q^2 \rangle + 2\langle Q^2 \rangle - 2}.$$  

(16)

The result of applying this method to our squeezed vacuum data is displayed in Fig. 3. To obtain a theoretical fit for these results, we express the overall quantum efficiency as

$$\eta = \eta_{esc}\eta_{OL}\eta_{det}\eta_{eq},$$  

(17)

where $\eta_{esc}$ is the escape efficiency of the optical cavity, $\eta_{OL}$ is the propagation linear loss, $\eta_{det}$ is the quantum efficiency of the homodyne detector, and $\eta_{eq}$ is the equivalent efficiency of the electronic noise. The first three factors remain constant throughout our measurement, and the fourth one can be evaluated from Eq. (14). A fit with $\eta_{esc}\eta_{OL}\eta_{det}=0.51$ is shown in Fig. 3 with a solid curve.

The first three factors in Eq. (17) can be estimated independently as $\eta_{esc}=T/(T+L)=0.88$ [22], $\eta_{OL}=0.95$, and $\eta_{det}=0.85$. The product of these values equals 0.71. We believe that the additional efficiency loss occurs due to blue-light-induced infrared absorption in the crystal in the presence of the pump field. In order to eliminate this loss while retaining the amount of squeezing, in our future experiments we intend to use a cavity with a wider waist and a longer nonlinear crystal [20].

**CONCLUSION**

We have found that there is a strong similarity between the effects of optical absorption and electronic noise on the state reconstructed by means of optical homodyne tomography. Therefore, the detector’s Gaussian electronic noise can be treated as an additional optical loss which is related to the detector’s signal-to-noise ratio by Eq. (14). The finding is verified in a squeezing experiment involving a narrowband nonclassical light source suitable for experiments on interfacing quantum information between light and atoms.
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